

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 045446

12

ORIGIN ARA-20

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 SS-20

USIA-15 EB-11 COME-00 TRSE-00 OMB-01 IO-14 AID-20

/141 R

DRAFTED BY ARA/LA-PLC:JLMARTIN

APPROVED BY ARA/LA-PLC:RJBLOOMFIELD

S/S- WLU R

S-MR. REMER

----- 016565

P 062328Z MAR 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO ALL AMERICAN REPUBLIC DIPLOMATIC POSTS PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 045446

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, OCON

SUBJECT: MFM: DISCUSSION OF AGENDA ITEM 2: ECONOMIC
COERCION

1. THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF THE DISCUSSION OF ITEM 2
ECONOMIC COERCION, AT THE MFM IN MEXICO CITY. THESE
REMARKS WERE TRANSCRIBED BY U.S. REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT
AT THE MEETING. IT IS PROVIDED FOR YOUR BACKGROUND.

2. FONMIN LUCIO PAREDES (ECUADOR) SPOKE FOR LA WORKING
GROUP:

A. ECONOMIC COERCION (EC) IS SERIOUS AND CAUSES
WIDEST GAP BETWEEN US AND LA. INDICATION BY
SECRETARY KISSINGER MANY (US LEGISLATIVE) MEASURES
HAVE NOT BEEN EXECUTED INTERESTING BUT WE CAN'T

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 045446

STOP THERE. WE HOPE IN NEAR FUTURE CAUSES OF EC
WILL BE ELIMINATED AND MEASURES WILL END.

B. SECTY KISSINGER'S POINT THAT THERE IS NO

DEFINITION OF COERCION IS TRUE, BUT WE ALL KNOW
WHAT IT IS -- AN ATTEMPT BY ONE PARTY TO BEND

SOVEREIGN WILL OF ANOTHER PARTY, AND WHEN THIS
HAPPENS IN OUR SYSTEM WE KNOW IT. ARTICLE 19 OF
OAS CHARTER SETS IT FORTH.

C. EC RUNS COUNTER TO FRIENDSHIP AND I-A
COOPERATION AND COUNTER TO OAS AND UN CHARTERS.
REFERENCE TO UN RES. 2526.

D. CONTINENT HAS SUFFERED COERCION THRU US
LEGISLATION, EXISTENCE OF WHICH IS COERCION.
ACTION OR THREAT OF ACTION BY POWERFUL NATIONS
OVER LDCS CONCERNS US GREATLY. LDCS HAVE DUTY TO
MEET ASPIRATIONS OF THEIR PEOPLE. REFERENCE TO
GEN PRINCIPLE 3 OF UNCTAD I -- RIGHT OF NATION TO
DISPOSE OF NATURAL RESOURCES.

E. MEASURES TAKEN IN FINANCIAL CENTERS WHICH
INVOLVE DISCRIMINATORY VOTES ARE EC. REFERENCE TO
STATEMENT BY PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT -- NO ONE NATION
MUST BE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF.

F. IF STATE THREATENED BY EC, MUST HAVE RECOURSE TO
SAFEGUARD MECHANISMS. WE NEED PROTECTIVE MACHINERY
AGAINST EC. LA RECOMMENDS SCCN INTENSIFY EFFORTS
TO CONSTRUCT SUCH MECHANISMS. MEANWHILE
WE MUST RESORT TO TRADITIONAL MEASURES SUCH AS
MEETING OF CONSULTATION OF FOREIGN MINISTERS UNDER
ARTICLE 59 OF OAS CHARTER.

3. SECTY KISSINGER: I HAVE ALREADY COVERED MANY OF
SPECIFICS RAISED. AS GENERAL OBSERVATION, WE HAVE NO
DIFFICULTY IN ACCEPTING ART. 19. THE DIFFICULTY ARISES
FROM INTERPRETATION.

CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 045446

A. WHAT IS COERCION? REALISTICALLY, ALL FOREIGN
POLICIES INVOLVE EFFORT TO INFLUENCE POLICIES OF
A FOREIGN STATE. US BEING COERCED BY OIL PRODUCERS.
QUESTION IS AT WHAT POINT ARE EFFORTS TO INFLUENCE
POLICIES OF OTHER GOVTS LEGITIMATE, AND AT WHAT
POINT DO THEY BECOME COERCIVE.

B- IT IS UNREALISTIC TO ASSUME NATIONS WILL NOT
ATTEMPT TO PROTECT NATIONALS AGAINST WHAT THEY
CONSIDER UNFAIR TREATMENT. MEXICO COMPLAINS ABOUT
TREATMENT OF MEXICANS IN US AND I HAVE ALWAYS
CONSIDERED SUCH COMPLAINTS VERY LEGITIMAE.

C. BEST WAY TO DEAL WITH PROBLEM IS TO FIND
MECHANISMS TO DEAL WITH DISPUTES.

D. PRESENCE OF DISTINGUISHED CONGRESSIONAL
DELEGATES IS SIGN THAT LEGISLATIVE IMPEDIMENTS CAN
BE REMOVED IF WE BRING ABOUT SPIRIT REFLECTED IN
REMARKS OF FONMIN OF ECUADOR AND WHICH I HAVE TRIED
TO EXPRESS.

4. FONMIN THOMPSON (JAMAICA): DO YOU INTEND TO SAY US
WILL END EXTRATERRITORIAL EFFECTS OF US LEGISLATION
WHEN IT IS CONTRARY TO LAWS OF ANOTHER STATE?

5. SECTY KISSINGER: WE NOW HAVE BEFORE EXECUTIVE BRANCH
NUMBER OF INSTANCES OF WHAT FONMIN OF JAMAICA CALLS
"EXTRATERRITORIALITY". IF WE HAVE INFORMAL MEETING OF
THIS GROUP AT OASGA IN ATLANTA, I MAY BE ABLE TO GIVE
MORE FORMAL REPLY. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MEETING AGENDA, ECONOMIC COOPERATION, LAW, FOREIGN MINISTERS MEETINGS, ECONOMIC CONTROLS, EXTRATERRITORIALITY, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, CENTRAL LEG ISLATURE
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 06 MAR 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE045446
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: PLC:JLMARTIN
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740363/aaaacgjj.tel
Line Count: 134
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN ARA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 17 SEP 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <17 SEP 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <03 JAN 2003 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MF: DISCUSSION OF AGENDA ITEM 2: ECONOMIC COERCION
TAGS: PFOR, OCON, ECON, XM, US
To: ALL POSTS
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005